

Message

From: Walker, Stuart [Walker.Stuart@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/16/2019 8:54:04 PM
To: Praskins, Wayne [Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Possible COE review of HPNS BPRG/RESRAD simulations

I initiated this outreach with the Corps contract lead. She did ask if the Corps was doing any work on the site that might appear to pose a conflict of interest. Otherwise this is in the scope of work of her contract.

Stuart Walker
Superfund Remedial program National Radiation Expert
Science Policy Branch
Assessment and Remediation Division
Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation
W (703) 603-8748
C (202) 262-9986

From: Praskins, Wayne <Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2019 6:36 PM
To: Walker, Stuart <Walker.Stuart@epa.gov>
Subject: Possible COE review of HPNS BPRG/RESRAD simulations

Stuart –

My primary request to Techlaw has been to identify the primary reasons why the Navy's BPRG simulations give much higher risk estimates for the HPNS radiological building remediation goals than the Navy's RESRAD BUILD simulations. I've also asked that they identify any pros or cons for use of one calculator over the other at HPNS.

That would be great if you could make funds/capacity available for the Corps to review the Navy's submittals.

In addition to the BPRG files I sent you on 10/30/19, I have a set of RESRAD BUILD input and output files that the Navy provided.

Let's discuss further tomorrow PM or Wednesday. Thanks.

Wayne Praskins | Superfund Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9
75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-7-3)
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-972-3181